

[8th February 1924]

*The splitting up of the cadre of the Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools.*

336 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(1) whether the old sub-assistant inspectors of schools cadre has been split up into two branches, viz., deputy inspectors of schools and school assistants;

(2) whether there is any difference, and if so, what difference in the qualifications prescribed for each;

(3) whether any increments in the case of school assistants are granted to them by selection, when they reach a pay of Rs. 100; and

(4) the sanctioned strength of deputy inspectors and school assistants respectively in the Presidency and the percentage of appointments in each class reserved for the selection grade of Rs. 150—10—250?

A.—(1) Yes.

(2) Ordinarily there is no difference.

(3) Not until they come to be promoted to the next higher grade of Rs. 100—10—150.

(4) The sanctioned permanent strength of the cadre is 257 in the case of deputy inspectors and 185 in the case of school assistants. The percentage of appointments on Rs. 150—10—250 is 25 and 12 per cent respectively.

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR:—“With reference to the answer to clause (2) is it a fact that school assistants have two bars of promotion, one at Rs. 100 and another at Rs. 150, while the deputy inspectors have got only one bar at Rs. 150?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“Sir, the answer is found in the answer to clause (4), which runs thus:—“The sanctioned permanent strength of the cadre is 257 in the case of deputy inspectors and 185 in the case of school assistants. The percentage of appointments on Rs. 150—10—250 is 25 and 12 respectively.” Rupees 150 is the place where they stop and there the selection grade begins.”

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR:—“I will repeat my question, Sir, because clause (4) has absolutely nothing to do with my question. Clause (2) of the answer here says that ordinarily there is no difference. Is it not a fact that school assistants have got two bars of promotion, one at Rs. 100 and the other at Rs. 150, whereas deputy inspectors got only one bar of promotion, namely, at Rs. 150?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO:—“That is so.”

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR:—“Will the hon. the Minister be pleased to say whether he considers that ordinarily there is no difference?”

(No answer.)

*Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Branch.*

337 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) when the Assistant Professors and Assistant Lecturers in the Government Colleges were constituted into a separate service called the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Branch;



8th February 1924]

- (b) the reasons for so doing ; and
- (c) the salary then proposed ?

A.—(a) In 1907.

(b) Prior to the formation of this cadre pay was attached to a particular post in a particular college rather than to the officer. When a vacancy arose in any of the posts of Assistant Lecturers, either permanent or temporary, it was often necessary to transfer an officer in the order of seniority from one college to another in order to enable him to obtain the promotion. An arrangement of that kind seriously interfered with the continuity as well as the efficiency of teaching. The desirability of minimising transfers causing dislocation of work and of enabling officers who were doing more or less the same work to obtain their due promotion without of necessity a change of place constituted the reasons for the grouping.

(c) Four grades—Rs. 175, 150, 125 and 100.

*Memorials by the Educational Service (Subordinate), Collegiate Branch.*

338 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI : Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) how many memorials have been submitted by the Educational Service (Subordinate), Collegiate Branch, for the revision of salaries since 1911 and what action has been taken on each of them ;

(b) the attitude of the Principals of Colleges through whom the memorials were submitted ;

(c) what recommendations were made by the Director of Public Instruction ;

(d) how the memorandum submitted by the Madras Non-gazetted Educational Officers' Association on the disabilities and demands of the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Branch, was disposed of by Government ; and

(e) whether the Director of Public Instruction recommended to the Salaries Committee an initial salary of Rs. 150 ?

A.—(a) Several memorials, especially during the last few years, were received in this regard. As joint representation is under standing rules forbidden, it is usual to receive separate copies of every memorial from each of the members of the service. It will be a difficult task to collect all the information required about them especially owing to the operation of rules of guidance in regard to the preservation of records. The Government also doubt whether any useful purpose will be served by undertaking the task.

(b) & (c) The Government regret that they cannot publish the opinions of officers submitted to them for their consideration.

(d) The Madras Non-gazetted Educational Officers' Association made two requests :—

(i) that the pay of the Subordinate Educational Service (Collegiate branch) should be further revised ; and

(ii) that more posts in the Subordinate Educational Service should be included in the Madras Educational Service.